Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/086,625	KOCHI ET AL.	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	James P. Hughes	2881	A
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature of the series of all claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIPORT OF THE	ears on the cover she t with (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu GHTS. This application is so and MPEP 1308.  Son May 24, 2004.  Examiner.	h th correspondence addre this application. If not include nication will be mailed in due o ubject to withdrawal from issue	d course. <b>THIS</b>
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☒ None of the:			
1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.		
2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application	n No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received	in this national stage applicat	ion from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:			:
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the req	uirements
<ol> <li>A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give</li> </ol>			OTICE OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus	et be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached			
1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date			
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet, Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			back) of
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>			lote the
Attachm nt(s)  1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No./Mail Date	6. ☐ Interview Su Paper No./ 7. ☑ Examiner's	formal Patent Application (PTC ummary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment	·
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. ⊠ Examiner's 9.	Statement of Reasons for Allo -·	wance
			ļ.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

1. Claims 20-25 which are drawn to a non-elected invention are cancelled.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Glenn Law Reg. # 34,371 on June 18, 2004.

### **Priority**

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on three applications filed in Japan on March 6, 2001. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed certified copies of the applications as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

### Claim Objections

3. The amendments and arguments presented in the amendment filed on May 24, 2004 have overcome the various claim objections set forth in the Office Action mailed on February 25, 2004.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The amendments and arguments presented in the amendment filed on May 24, 2004 have overcome the 35 USC 112 rejections set forth in the Office Action mailed on February 25, 2004.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-19 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance. Independent claim 1 is allowed because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest an apparatus, or means for, an electron beam device wherein, in combination with the other recited limitations in the claim, said data correcting section comprises: a rectifying parameters acquiring means for acquiring rectifying parameters at relative tilt angles between said specimen holder and said incident electron beam, and lend distortion correcting parameters for correcting the lens distortion of said electron optical system. Claims 2-7 are allowed by virtue of their dependence on claim 1.

Independent claim 8 is allowed because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest an apparatus, or means for, a data processing device for an electron beam device comprising, in combination with the other recited limitations in the claim, a rectifying parameter acquiring means for acquiring rectifying parameters at relative tilt angles between said specimen holder and an incident electron beam, and lens distortion correcting parameters for correcting lens distortion of said electron optical system. Claim 9 is allowed by virtue of its dependence on claim 8.

Independent claims 10 and 11 are allowed because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method, or means for, forming three-dimensional data of an electron beam

device for measuring the shape of a specimen comprising, in combination with the other recited limitations in the claims, correcting said first and second detection data into rectified data with rectifying parameters at relative tilt angles between said specimen holder and an incident electron beam, and lens distortion correcting parameters for correcting lens distortion of said electron optical system, based on said reference marks.

Independent claim 12 is allowed because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest an apparatus, or means for, forming three-dimensional data of an electron beam device for measuring the shape of a specimen comprising, in combination with the other recited limitations in the claim, an image data rectifying means that corrects differences in distortion and in scale due to said tilt angles contained in the data detected at said different tilt angles using said acquired rectifying parameters and said lens distortion correction parameters. Claims 13-17 are allowed by virtue of their dependence on claim 12.

Independent claim 18 is allowed because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method, or means for, a method of measuring a specimen in three dimensions using an electron beam device comprising, in combination with the other recited limitations in the claim, correcting said first and second detection data into rectified data with rectifying parameters at relative tilt angles between said specimen holder and said incident electron beam, and lens distortion correcting parameters for correcting lens distortion of said electron optical system based on said rectifying parameters acquired.

Independent claim 19 is allowed because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method, or means for, a method of measuring a specimen in three dimensions using an electron beam device comprising, in combination with the other recited limitations in the claim,

Page 5

acquiring using said reference marks, rectifying parameters for correcting the differences in distortion and in scale, due to differences in said first and second relative tilt angles and contained in said first and second detection data; detecting first and second detection data related to said specimen with said electron beam detection section under conditions of first and second relative tilt angles between said specimen holder and said incident electron beam; and measuring the shape of said specimen in three dimensions in the state of the differences in distortion and scale due to differences in said first and second relative tilt angles, as corrected by said rectifying parameters.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 6. disclosure. Suganuma (3,585,382) teaches a stereo-scanning electron microscope yielding a three dimensional image. (Abstract) Mizuno (5,777,327) teaches a pattern shape for displaying and inspecting a pattern wherein at least one of the image parameters of the specimen image and the reference image is corrected to aid the comparison. (Abstract)

Application/Control Number: 10/086,625 Page 6

Art Unit: 2881

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James P. Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-2474. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James P. Hughes Patent Examiner Art Unit 2881

J 11

Nikita Wells
PRIMARY EXAMINER

06/21/04